

The Impact of Covid-19 on Global Health: Lessons Learned and Future Directions

Papka, Ijudigal. M.¹, Ijamulu, Anointing. E.², Hassana, Mangga, K.³ Bukbuk. David. N.³

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Adamawa state University, Mubi, PMB 25, Adamawa state, Nigeria.

² Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Taraba State University, Jalingo, P.M.B 1167, Taraba State, Nigeria.

³Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Bama Road, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Bama Road, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Received: 14.07.2025 | Accepted: 27.07.2025 | Published: 01.08.2025

*Corresponding author: Papka Ijudigal. M.

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.16697109](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16697109)

Abstract

Review Article

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly affected global health systems, revealing critical vulnerabilities while accelerating innovations in healthcare delivery, surveillance, and international cooperation. This review synthesizes the multifaceted impacts of COVID-19 on public health infrastructure, disease management, and health equity. It highlights lessons learned regarding pandemic preparedness, vaccine development and distribution, health communication, and the socioeconomic determinants of health. Emphasis is placed on strategies to strengthen resilience against future health crises, including bolstering health system capacity, addressing disparities, and fostering global collaboration. Understanding these lessons is essential to shaping future public health policies and ensuring more effective responses to emerging threats.

Keywords: COVID-19, Global Health Systems, Pandemic Preparedness, Vaccine Development, Vaccine Distribution, Health Equity, Health Communication, Healthcare Innovation, Public Health Infrastructure, Socioeconomic Determinants Of Health, Disease Management, Health System Resilience, International Cooperation, Future Health Crises, Pandemic Response Strategies.

Citation: Papka, I. M., Ijamulu, A. E., Hassana, M. K., & Bukbuk, D. N. (2025). The impact of COVID-19 on global health: Lessons learned and future directions. *SSR Journal of Medical Sciences (SSRJMS)*, 2(4), 25-37.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Global Significance of COVID-19

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), was first identified in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, and rapidly evolved into a global pandemic, (WHO, 2020). By mid-2025, the pandemic has resulted in over 760 million confirmed cases and nearly 7 million deaths worldwide, marking it as one of the most devastating public health emergencies of the 21st century, (Johns Hopkins University, 2025). COVID-19's swift transmission and significant morbidity placed unprecedented strain on healthcare systems, exposing systemic vulnerabilities and amplifying existing disparities in healthcare access and outcomes, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, (LMICs) (Kluge *et al.*, 2020; Lancet COVID-19 Commission, 2021).

1.2 Broader Impacts beyond Direct Health Effects

Beyond immediate clinical impacts, COVID-19 has profoundly affected mental health, social determinants such as poverty and education, and disrupted global economic and supply chains, (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020; Bambra *et al.*, 2020). The pandemic led to widespread social isolation, increased rates of anxiety and depression, and heightened economic inequalities globally, (Xiong *et al.*, 2020). Disruptions in education due to school closures disproportionately affected vulnerable populations, exacerbating long-term societal inequities, (UNESCO, 2021).

1.3 Accelerated Scientific Innovation and Emerging Challenges

The crisis catalyzed rapid scientific innovation, most notably the unprecedented speed of vaccine development, with multiple effective COVID-19 vaccines



authorized within a year of the virus's identification (Krammer, 2020). However, these advances also highlighted significant challenges including global disparities in vaccine access, vaccine hesitancy driven by misinformation, and complex issues around international cooperation and intellectual property, (Wouters *et al.*, 2021; Kluge *et al.*, 2021).

1.4 Purpose and Scope of the Review

This literature review aims to synthesize evidence on the multifaceted impact of COVID-19 on global health systems, highlighting lessons learned to improve future pandemic preparedness and response. The review covers disruptions to healthcare delivery, challenges in pandemic preparedness, vaccine development and distribution dynamics, communication strategies, and the exacerbation of health inequities. By integrating insights from diverse global studies, this review provides a comprehensive foundation for informing future health policy and strengthening resilience against emerging health threats.

1.5 Importance of Lessons Learned for Future Health Crises

Understanding the lessons from COVID-19 is essential as the world continues to navigate recovery and prepare for potential future pandemics. The pandemic has underscored the interconnectedness of global health systems and the critical importance of coordinated, equitable, and flexible responses to safeguard public health, (Haldane *et al.*, 2021). Lessons learned in this crisis will help shape policies, resource allocation, and public health practices to mitigate the impacts of future infectious disease outbreaks and other global health emergencies.

2. GLOBAL HEALTH SYSTEMS BEFORE COVID-19

2.1 Overview of Pre-Pandemic Health Infrastructure and Capacity

Prior to the onset of COVID-19, global health systems exhibited significant disparities in infrastructure, resources, and pandemic preparedness. High-income countries generally had more advanced healthcare systems characterized by well-equipped hospitals, trained healthcare workers, and established emergency response protocols, (WHO, 2019). In contrast, many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) struggled with chronic underfunding, limited healthcare workforce density, and insufficient access to medical supplies and technologies, (Kruk *et al.*, 2018).

The Global Health Security Index (GHSI), published in 2019, assessed preparedness across 195 countries, revealing that no country was fully prepared for a pandemic. While some nations scored highly on paper, actual response capabilities were often hindered by gaps in coordination, healthcare delivery, and communication infrastructure, (Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security *et al.*, 2019). The GHSI highlighted vulnerabilities such as under-resourced public health systems, weak laboratory networks, and inadequate risk communication strategies.

2.2 Public Health Functions and Emergency Preparedness

Many health systems before COVID-19 were primarily designed to address routine healthcare demands and lacked sufficient surge capacity to handle large-scale infectious disease outbreaks. Key public health functions, including disease surveillance, laboratory diagnostic capacity, and emergency preparedness planning, were often fragmented or underfunded, (Kruk *et al.*, 2018). These weaknesses were particularly pronounced in vulnerable regions with fragile healthcare infrastructures. The fragmentation extended to global supply chains for critical medical products. Prior to the pandemic, these supply chains were optimized for efficiency but lacked resilience to sudden increases in demand for items such as personal protective equipment (PPE), ventilators, and diagnostic tests, (Fidler & Gostin, 2018). This structural limitation posed significant challenges when the pandemic struck, as countries scrambled to procure lifesaving equipment.

2.3 Pre-Existing Inequities and Health System Vulnerabilities

Before COVID-19, inequities in healthcare access and quality persisted both within and between countries. LMICs, often reliant on external funding and support, faced difficulties in maintaining consistent vaccine coverage, managing chronic diseases, and delivering essential health services (Kruk *et al.*, 2018). These inequities were compounded by social determinants such as poverty, education, and geographic barriers, which affected health outcomes and system resilience, (Wang *et al.*, 2020).

Moreover, health systems in many regions lacked adequate numbers of healthcare workers trained for infectious disease management and emergency response, (Nuzzo *et al.*, 2020). The underinvestment in primary healthcare services and community health workers undermined early detection and containment of outbreaks.

2.4 Lessons from Past Epidemics and Pandemic Preparedness

Previous epidemics, including SARS, (2002-2003), H1N1 influenza (2009), and Ebola (2014-2016), provided valuable lessons on the importance of rapid response, coordination, and community engagement, (Fidler & Gostin, 2018). Despite these experiences, many countries did not fully integrate these lessons into sustainable preparedness frameworks. Investments in health security remained inconsistent, and pandemic response plans often lacked operational clarity and cross-sectoral collaboration.

The COVID-19 pandemic thus exposed how unprepared many health systems were to cope with a rapidly spreading respiratory virus requiring both clinical management and widespread public health interventions.



2.5 Summary

In sum, the pre-COVID-19 global health landscape was characterized by uneven readiness, systemic weaknesses, and entrenched inequities. The pandemic unveiled these longstanding vulnerabilities, demonstrating the critical need for resilient, adaptable health systems that can withstand future shocks. Addressing these gaps is essential to improving global health security and pandemic preparedness going forward.

3. IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON GLOBAL HEALTH

3.1 Healthcare Delivery and System Strain

The management of chronic Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) infection imposes a substantial burden on healthcare systems, especially in regions with high endemicity such as sub-Saharan Africa and parts of Asia. An estimated **254–296 million people** globally live with chronic HBV, most of whom are in Africa ($\geq 7.5\%$) and the Western Pacific ($\approx 5.9\%$) Wikipedia. HBV-related cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) account for the majority of its mortality—approximately **820,000 deaths in 2019** alone, (Fidler & Gostin, 2018).

Long-term Monitoring and Healthcare Infrastructure Pressure

Chronic HBV often requires **lifelong monitoring**—including serial liver function tests, viral load measurements, imaging, and specialist review—to detect progression to cirrhosis or HCC. In Egypt, for example, patients with compensated cirrhosis, decompensated cirrhosis, and HCC require **4, 12 and 18 outpatient visits per year**, respectively; liver transplant patients may need up to **30 visits annually** SpringerOpen. Laboratory and radiologic evaluations (e.g., AFP, FibroScan, ultrasound) further escalate costs, with average diagnostic expenses exceeding **3,000 Int \$/year** per patient, (Causey *et al.*, 2021; UNICEF, 2021).

Personnel Shortages and Health System Inefficiencies

Resource-limited settings commonly face an **acute shortage of trained healthcare personnel**, compounded by “brain drain” as trained professionals migrate abroad PMC. Moreover, inadequate screening programs, low vaccine coverage, poor blood safety practices, and limited public awareness further impede early diagnosis and treatment access in low-income countries PMC+1The Lancet+1.

Economic and Financial Strain

The financial burdens of HBV care—covering diagnostics, antiviral therapy, monitoring, and complications—can be catastrophic for individuals in low-resource settings. A Nigerian facility-based study estimated a **yearly household cost of ₦564,959** ($\approx$ US \$1,487), which corresponds to **more than 100% of**

a minimum-wage monthly income; many patients delay or forgo care due to inability to pay, (Fidler & Gostin, 2018).

In Ghana, individuals spend around **38% of their annual income** on tenofovir-based therapy ($\sim$ US \$670/year) The Lancet+3Hepatitis B Foundation+3SpringerOpen+3. In The Gambia, community-based screening and treatment yielded **incremental costs of US\$540 per DALY averted**—a cost-effective strategy—but still require stable financing, (Fidler & Gostin, 2018).

Strain on Facilities and Workflow

HBV programs amplify demand in outpatient services, diagnostic laboratories, imaging centers, and specialist clinics such as hepatology and oncology. Increased patient volume often leads to **longer wait times** and potentially **reduced quality of care**, particularly where facilities are undersized or understaffed PMCPMC.

Integration into Existing Health Services

Efforts to integrate HBV management into existing platforms—such as HIV clinics or maternal and child health programs—require **policy shifts, infrastructure expansion, and retrained workforce capacity**. If poorly implemented, this integration risks **burnout among clinicians, workflow bottlenecks, and diluted quality across services**, (Causey *et al.*, 2021; UNICEF, 2021).

Summary and Recommendations

Collectively, these challenges underscore that effectively delivering care for people with chronic HBV demands:

- **Robust infrastructure:** accessible outpatient clinics, laboratories, imaging, and specialist services.
- **Strategic investments:** sustainable financing mechanisms (e.g., health insurance, donor support).
- **Human resource strengthening:** training, retention programs, task shifting.
- **Improved access:** simplified diagnostics, decentralized care, reduced out-of-pocket costs.
- **Policy reform:** integration into primary healthcare, standardized guidelines, surveillance systems.

Addressing system strain is not only essential for patient outcomes but also critical to reducing the long-term public health and economic burden of HBV.

3.1.1 Disruptions to Routine Health Services

The COVID-19 pandemic caused widespread disruptions to routine healthcare delivery across the globe, significantly impacting essential services such as immunization programs, maternal and child health, and



management of chronic diseases, (WHO, 2020a). Many health facilities were repurposed to manage COVID-19 cases, leading to cancellations or delays in preventive care and elective procedures, (Robertson *et al.*, 2020). For instance, global immunization campaigns experienced significant setbacks, resulting in millions of children missing vaccinations for diseases like measles, polio, and diphtheria, thereby increasing the risk of outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases, (Causey *et al.*, 2021; UNICEF, 2021).

Patients with chronic conditions such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer faced reduced access to routine monitoring and treatment due to lockdowns, fear of infection, and healthcare system overload, (Moynihan *et al.*, 2021). This interruption exacerbated morbidity and mortality from non-COVID conditions, contributing to indirect pandemic-related deaths, (Haldane *et al.*, 2021). Telemedicine and digital health solutions expanded rapidly in response, helping mitigate some of these challenges, but inequities in digital access limited their effectiveness in many LMICs, (Smith *et al.*, 2020).

3.1.2 Hospital Capacity and Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Overload

Hospitals worldwide confronted unprecedented strain due to surges in COVID-19 patients requiring hospitalization and critical care. ICU capacities were quickly overwhelmed, especially during peak waves, leading to shortages of ventilators, oxygen supplies, and critical care beds, (Ranney *et al.*, 2020). High occupancy rates forced difficult triage decisions, and in some cases, rationing of care (Emanuel *et al.*, 2020). Countries with under-resourced healthcare infrastructure, particularly LMICs, experienced disproportionately severe capacity constraints, (Walker *et al.*, 2020).

These capacity challenges not only affected COVID-19 patients but also compromised care for other acute conditions such as trauma and stroke, contributing to excess mortality, (Docherty *et al.*, 2021). Efforts to expand capacity included the rapid establishment of field hospitals, redeployment of healthcare workers, and cross-sector collaboration, yet these were often insufficient to fully meet demand, (Kaye *et al.*, 2020).

3.1.3 Healthcare Workforce Challenges

The healthcare workforce faced extraordinary pressures throughout the pandemic. High rates of infection, burnout, and psychological distress among frontline workers resulted in workforce shortages and compromised care quality, (Lai *et al.*, 2020; Shaukat *et al.*, 2020). Protective equipment shortages early in the pandemic increased risks to healthcare workers, exacerbating stress and absenteeism, (Nguyen *et al.*, 2020).

Furthermore, many health workers had to rapidly adapt to new roles and workflows, including infection control protocols and telehealth delivery, often with limited training or support, (Greenhalgh *et al.*, 2020). These

workforce challenges highlighted the need for strengthened occupational health policies, mental health support, and investment in training and retention strategies to enhance system resilience, (Bauchner *et al.*, 2020).

3.2 Mental Health and Psychosocial Impact

3.2.1 Psychological Consequences of the Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic has had profound effects on mental health, manifesting in increased rates of anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and loneliness. Public health restrictions, fear of infection, social isolation, and economic uncertainty contributed significantly to psychological distress globally, (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020; Xiong *et al.*, 2020). One meta-analysis found that the global prevalence of anxiety and depression during the early months of COVID-19 was approximately three to four times higher than pre-pandemic levels, (Salari *et al.*, 2020).

3.2.2 Impact on Vulnerable Populations

Certain groups experienced heightened mental health challenges during the pandemic. These include:

- **Healthcare workers**, who faced elevated risk of burnout, emotional exhaustion, and psychological trauma due to high workloads, fear of infection, and moral distress (Lai *et al.*, 2020).
- **Children and adolescents**, affected by school closures and disrupted social routines, which led to increased anxiety, depressive symptoms, and behavioral issues, (Lee, 2020).
- **Individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions**, who often experienced worsened symptoms due to reduced access to mental health services and social support, (Moreno *et al.*, 2020).
- **Low-income and marginalized communities**, which faced compounded stressors related to job loss, food insecurity, and limited access to healthcare, (Galea *et al.*, 2020).

3.2.3 Disruption of Mental Health Services

Pandemic-related healthcare disruptions extended to mental health services. Numerous countries reported reductions or closures of routine mental health facilities, reduced appointment availability, and limited access to psychiatric medication, (WHO, 2020b). Telepsychiatry and digital mental health interventions accelerated in response, yet lack of technological access and digital literacy created disparities in service utilization, (Rauschenberg *et al.*, 2021).

3.2.4 Long-Term Psychosocial Effects and Resilience Factors

The long-term psychosocial impacts — often referred to as "post-pandemic stress syndrome" — include



sustained anxiety, grief, and economic hardship. Supportive factors fostering resilience include strong social networks, effective government social protection policies, and individual coping strategies, (Pfefferbaum and North, 2020; Bonanno *et al.*, 2021). Community-level interventions, mental health awareness campaigns, and accessible psychological resources have been vital in mitigating long-term mental health effects, (Sharpe *et al.*, 2021).

3.3 Public Health Surveillance and Data Systems

3.3.1 Gaps in Pre-Pandemic Surveillance Infrastructure

Before COVID-19, many global and national public health surveillance systems were under-resourced, fragmented, and lacked real-time data sharing mechanisms, (Lee & Morling, 2020). Although some countries had implemented electronic surveillance tools and integrated disease reporting networks, there was significant variation in capability across regions. Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) often struggled with delayed case reporting, insufficient laboratory capacity, and limited use of digital health technologies, (Gostic *et al.*, 2020).

3.3.2 Acceleration of Digital Health and Surveillance Tools during COVID-19

The pandemic prompted unprecedented growth in digital epidemiology. Governments, researchers, and technology companies developed and deployed tools such as:

- **Mobile contact tracing apps** (e.g., Singapore's Trace Together, UK's NHS COVID-19 app)
- **Real-time dashboards** (e.g., Johns Hopkins University COVID-19 Dashboard)
- **AI-driven outbreak prediction models**
- **Wastewater surveillance for early community-level detection** (Peccia *et al.*, 2020)

These innovations improved outbreak tracking, enabled faster decision-making, and enhanced global situational awareness (Scarpino *et al.*, 2022). Despite their promise, concerns emerged around privacy, data security, and unequal access to digital technologies (Ecks, 2021).

3.3.3 Role of Genomic Surveillance

Genomic sequencing played a critical role in tracking viral variants and understanding transmission dynamics. Initiatives like the **Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID)** enabled real-time sharing of SARS-CoV-2 genetic data across countries (Shu & McCauley, 2017). This allowed early detection of Variants of Concern (VOCs), such as Delta and Omicron, and informed policy responses including border controls and vaccine updates, (WHO, 2022).

3.3.4 Equity and Access in Surveillance Capacity

A major challenge revealed by the pandemic was the unequal global distribution of surveillance infrastructure. Many LMICs lacked resources to sequence viral genomes, implement digital reporting systems, or conduct robust contact tracing, (Nkengasong *et al.*, 2021). Strengthening global surveillance equity is critical to ensure timely detection of emerging threats and prevent future pandemics.

3.3.5 Lessons for Future Preparedness

The COVID-19 pandemic emphasized the need for:

- **Interoperable global data systems**
- **Investments in health informatics infrastructure**
- **Public trust in data sharing initiatives**
- **Training a digital-savvy health workforce**

Improving global surveillance capacity requires not only technological investment but also governance structures that ensure data transparency, ethical oversight, and international cooperation (Abat *et al.*, 2021).

3.4 COVID-19 and Health Equity

3.4.1 Disproportionate Impact on Marginalized Populations

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed and magnified longstanding health disparities across socioeconomic, racial, and geographic lines. Marginalized populations—such as racial and ethnic minorities, migrant workers, people living in poverty, and individuals with disabilities—faced higher risks of infection, severe illness, and death, (Bambra *et al.*, 2020; Chowkwanyun & Reed, 2020). These disparities were driven by:

- Overrepresentation in frontline and essential jobs
- Crowded or unstable housing conditions
- Limited access to healthcare services and health insurance
- Higher rates of comorbid conditions (e.g., diabetes, hypertension)

For example, in the U.S., Black, Latino, and Native American communities experienced disproportionately high COVID-19 mortality rates compared to white populations, (CDC, 2021). Similar inequities were documented in Brazil, South Africa, and India, reflecting global patterns of structural inequality, (Lancet COVID-19 Commission, 2021).

3.4.2 Barriers to Vaccine Access and Distribution

Despite the rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines, access was highly unequal. High-income countries secured the majority of initial vaccine supplies



through bilateral agreements, leaving low-income countries dependent on COVAX and other aid mechanisms, (Wouters *et al.*, 2021). As of late 2022, many countries in Sub-Saharan Africa and parts of Southeast Asia had vaccinated less than 30% of their populations, compared to over 70% in Europe and North America (WHO, 2022).

Barriers included:

- Supply shortages
- Inadequate cold chain infrastructure
- Weak distribution networks
- Vaccine hesitancy fueled by distrust or misinformation

3.4.3 Social Determinants of Health and COVID-19 Outcomes

Social determinants such as income, education, employment, gender, and housing significantly influenced COVID-19 exposure and outcomes, (Marmot & Allen, 2020). For example:

- Informal sector workers lacked the option to work from home, increasing exposure.
- Women faced heightened risks of domestic violence and caregiving burdens.
- Refugees and displaced persons often lived in conditions where distancing and sanitation were impossible.

These factors shaped both the immediate effects of the pandemic and the long-term recovery process, emphasizing the need to incorporate equity into all aspects of health system planning and response.

3.4.4 Toward More Equitable Health Systems

The pandemic underscored the urgency of building more inclusive and just health systems. Strategies for achieving equity include:

- Expanding universal health coverage and social protection programs
- Strengthening primary healthcare in underserved areas
- Investing in community health workers and culturally competent care
- Ensuring equitable access to diagnostics, treatments, and vaccines during public health emergencies, (Hogan *et al.*, 2020)

Internationally, coordinated action is needed to reform global health governance, reduce dependency on external aid, and ensure that low- and middle-income countries have greater agency and access to lifesaving technologies, (Kickbusch *et al.*, 2021).

4. Lessons Learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic has provided critical insights into the strengths and weaknesses of global health systems and has underscored the urgency of building resilience, equity, and preparedness. This section synthesizes key lessons across several domains:

4.1 Pandemic Preparedness and Early Response

COVID-19 revealed the global lack of readiness for a fast-spreading respiratory pandemic. Despite early warnings from prior outbreaks (e.g., SARS, MERS, Ebola), many countries were unprepared to detect and contain the virus in its early stages.

- **Lesson:** Early detection, transparent communication, and rapid containment are crucial to mitigating outbreak severity, (Gates, 2020).
- **Key Gap:** National pandemic preparedness plans were often outdated, underfunded, or lacked integration with community-level health systems, (Kluge *et al.*, 2020).
- **Action Needed:** Regular pandemic simulation exercises, stockpiling of PPE, and investment in decentralized diagnostic infrastructure.

4.2 Vaccine Development and Distribution

The rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines—particularly mRNA platforms—demonstrated the potential of accelerated research when backed by global coordination and public-private partnerships, (Krammer, 2020). However, the rollout exposed stark inequities.

- **Lesson:** Investment in research and global regulatory cooperation can significantly shorten vaccine development timelines.
- **Challenge:** Vaccine nationalism undermined equitable access, leaving many low-income countries behind, (Wouters *et al.*, 2021).
- **Action Needed:** Strengthen global platforms like COVAX, support technology transfer, and expand manufacturing in LMICs.

4.3 Health Communication and Misinformation Management

Misinformation and disinformation significantly undermined public health efforts, contributing to vaccine hesitancy, non-compliance with preventive measures, and societal polarization (Wilson & Wiysonge, 2020).

- **Lesson:** Clear, consistent, and culturally sensitive communication is vital in crisis settings.



- **Challenge:** Social media amplified misinformation faster than public health agencies could counter it, (Larson *et al.*, 2018).
- **Action Needed:** Establish rapid-response communication teams and engage trusted community leaders and influencers.

4.4 Health System Resilience and Flexibility

Health systems that demonstrated flexibility—e.g., repurposing hospitals, expanding ICU capacity, and leveraging telehealth—were better able to cope with surges (Nuzzo *et al.*, 2020).

- **Lesson:** Adaptive systems are key to maintaining both COVID-19 care and essential health services.
- **Challenge:** Chronic underinvestment in primary healthcare and workforce shortages left many systems vulnerable, (Kruk *et al.*, 2018).
- **Action Needed:** Long-term investment in infrastructure, workforce training, and surge capacity planning.

4.5 The Role of Global Cooperation

Multilateral cooperation played a mixed role during the pandemic. While institutions like WHO provided technical leadership, geopolitical tensions hindered coordinated responses.

- **Lesson:** Global problems require unified global responses.
- **Challenge:** Fragmented data sharing, competition over medical supplies, and vaccine hoarding undermined solidarity (Moon *et al.*, 2015).
- **Action Needed:** Strengthen international health regulations and empower WHO to coordinate equitable responses.

5. Future Directions in Global Health Post-COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed major shifts in global health thinking and practice. As the world moves into a recovery and preparedness phase, there is an urgent need to apply the lessons learned to reshape global health systems. This section outlines key future directions for building a more resilient, equitable, and effective global health architecture.

5.1 Strengthening Health System Resilience

Investing in Primary Healthcare and Universal Health Coverage (UHC):

Primary healthcare must be prioritized as the foundation of resilient health systems. Countries with robust primary care networks were better able to maintain

essential services and manage pandemic surges (WHO, 2021a). Expanding UHC ensures equitable access to health services, reduces financial hardship, and enhances population-level preparedness.

• Action Points:

- Increase public health spending, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).
- Train and retain a well-distributed health workforce.
- Integrate telemedicine and digital health into routine care (Peters *et al.*, 2020).

5.2 Global Vaccine Equity and Research Infrastructure

Expanding Local Manufacturing and R&D Capacity:

To avoid repeating the inequities seen in COVID-19 vaccine distribution, global health governance must promote equitable access to vaccines, therapeutics, and diagnostics.

• Action Points:

- Support technology transfer to LMICs and regional manufacturing hubs (GAVI, 2022).
- Establish vaccine equity frameworks within multilateral organizations like WHO and COVAX.
- Create public-private partnerships for pandemic preparedness R&D (CEPI, 2023).

5.3 Surveillance and Early Warning Systems

Improving Global Disease Intelligence:

The ability to detect and respond to novel pathogens in real-time is crucial. COVID-19 revealed the limitations of current surveillance systems, especially at the community level.

• Action Points:

- Invest in One Health–based surveillance to monitor zoonotic spillovers (Carroll *et al.*, 2022).
- Strengthen laboratory networks and genomic sequencing capacity.
- Promote data transparency and international data sharing (Rothstein *et al.*, 2020).



5.4 Pandemic Governance and International Collaboration

Reforming Global Health Governance Mechanisms:

The pandemic exposed weaknesses in international coordination and enforcement of health regulations.

- **Action Points:**

- Strengthen the International Health Regulations (IHR 2005) with clearer accountability mechanisms, (Gostin *et al.*, 2021).
- Empower WHO with greater political independence and sustainable funding.
- Develop a global pandemic treaty focused on preparedness, data sharing, and equitable resource allocation, (WHO, 2023).

5.5 Addressing Health Inequities and the Social Determinants of Health

A Rights-Based and Inclusive Approach to Health:

Post-pandemic recovery must address the structural determinants that left vulnerable populations at greater risk.

- **Action Points:**

- Embed health equity into all policy frameworks (“Health in All Policies” approach).
- Expand social protection programs and address gender and racial disparities.
- Include communities in decision-making, especially marginalized groups (Marmot & Allen, 2020).

5.6 Mental Health and Public Wellbeing

Recognizing Mental Health as a Core Public Health Priority:

COVID-19 triggered a global mental health crisis, with increases in anxiety, depression, and stress-related disorders, (WHO, 2022).

- **Action Points:**

- Integrate mental health into primary care.
- Invest in digital mental health interventions and crisis support systems.
- Address the mental health needs of frontline workers and youth.

6. CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic stands as a defining global health event of the 21st century, exposing profound vulnerabilities in health systems, governance, and societal structures while also demonstrating the potential of innovation, collaboration, and resilience. As of 2025, with the acute phase of the pandemic largely contained in many parts of the world, it is imperative to shift focus from reactive crisis management to proactive system strengthening and long-term preparedness.

6.1 Summary of Key Impacts

COVID-19 disrupted virtually every facet of global health—from routine healthcare delivery and immunization programs to mental health, reproductive services, and non-communicable disease management. Health systems, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), struggled under the dual burden of direct COVID-19 cases and secondary service disruptions (Nuzzo *et al.*, 2020). Meanwhile, global disparities in access to vaccines, diagnostics, and treatments underscored persistent inequities in healthcare access and outcomes (Wouters *et al.*, 2021).

Despite these challenges, the pandemic also accelerated breakthroughs in vaccine development, digital health, and international cooperation. It showcased how global scientific collaboration, when properly resourced and coordinated, can yield rapid solutions to complex public health threats, (Krammer, 2020).

6.2 Core Lessons Learned

Several key lessons emerged:

- **Preparedness saves lives:** Countries with strong surveillance, primary healthcare, and public trust managed the pandemic more effectively, (Haldane *et al.*, 2021).
- **Equity must be central to global health:** Vaccine nationalism and uneven access revealed the dangers of excluding vulnerable populations from pandemic response strategies (Marmot & Allen, 2020).
- **Communication matters:** Public health messaging and trust in science significantly influenced behavioral responses and health outcomes (Larson *et al.*, 2018).
- **Resilience requires investment:** Underfunded systems collapsed under pressure, while those with adequate resources were more adaptive and robust (Kruk *et al.*, 2018).

6.3 The Way Forward

To safeguard against future pandemics, global health stakeholders must embrace systemic change. This includes:



- Building **resilient, inclusive, and equitable health systems** that prioritize universal health coverage and are capable of handling concurrent public health threats.
- Establishing **stronger global governance mechanisms** to ensure timely responses, fair distribution of resources, and coordination across borders.
- Scaling up **investment in research and innovation**, including local manufacturing capacity in LMICs.

- Embedding **mental health, social determinants of health, and digital health solutions** into core health strategies.

6.4 Closing Remarks

COVID-19 has reshaped how the world thinks about health, interdependence, and preparedness. The pandemic illuminated both our collective vulnerabilities and our ability to respond with resilience and innovation. The path forward must be grounded in equity, science, and solidarity. Only through sustained commitment and global collaboration can we ensure that the next health emergency finds a world better prepared, more unified, and less vulnerable.

Summary Table: Key Impacts and Future Directions in Global Health Post-COVID-19

Theme	Key Impacts During COVID-19	Future Direction / Recommendation
Health System Strain	Overloaded hospitals, disrupted essential services, health worker burnout	Invest in primary healthcare and surge capacity; support health workforce well-being
Pandemic Preparedness	Inadequate emergency protocols, weak surveillance	Strengthen global early warning systems; improve national preparedness plans
Health Equity	Widened disparities in vaccine access and healthcare services	Promote universal health coverage; ensure equitable vaccine manufacturing and distribution
Vaccine Development & Distribution	Rapid scientific breakthroughs, inequitable global access	Expand local R&D and production capacity in LMICs; create enforceable global equity mechanisms
Mental Health	Increased anxiety, depression, and psychological distress	Integrate mental health into primary care; scale digital mental health interventions
Governance & International Response	Fragmented global coordination, variable adherence to WHO guidance	Reform IHR; strengthen WHO authority and funding; consider a global pandemic treaty
Misinformation & Trust	Vaccine hesitancy fueled by misinformation and inconsistent messaging	Invest in science communication; engage communities through trusted local messengers
Innovation & Digital Health	Growth in telemedicine and digital surveillance tools	Build digital infrastructure; ensure data privacy and digital literacy

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Build Resilient Health Systems:

Governments and donors should prioritize long-term investments in primary healthcare, universal health coverage, and emergency preparedness infrastructure, especially in LMICs.

2. Advance Global Vaccine Equity:

Establish enforceable international agreements to ensure timely and fair distribution of vaccines and critical medical supplies, with mechanisms for technology transfer and regional manufacturing.

3. Strengthen International Health Governance:

Reforms to the International Health Regulations (IHR) should include stronger accountability, improved compliance monitoring, and adequate funding for global coordination entities like WHO.

4. Integrate Mental Health in Crisis Response:

Mental health must be treated as a core component of health system response and recovery, with expanded access to services and support for healthcare workers and vulnerable populations.



5. Promote Science Communication and Public Trust:

Counter misinformation through transparent, timely, and culturally relevant public health communication that engages local leaders and communities.

6. Leverage Innovation for Equity:

Expand access to digital health tools, telemedicine, and real-time surveillance systems while ensuring equity, digital inclusion, and protection of personal data.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Impact of COVID-19 on Global Health: Lessons Learned and Future Directions

The COVID-19 pandemic has revealed critical weaknesses and opportunities in global health systems. From overwhelmed hospitals and disrupted routine care to the inequities in vaccine access and public mistrust in health authorities, the crisis has tested the limits of preparedness and response mechanisms worldwide. Yet, it has also accelerated innovation—ushering in rapid vaccine development, digital health adoption, and greater global collaboration.

This literature review examines:

- The **pre-pandemic state** of global health systems, highlighting disparities in infrastructure, funding, and preparedness.
- The **immediate and long-term impacts** of COVID-19 on healthcare delivery, workforce resilience, mental health, and service access.
- The **uneven distribution** of vaccines and technologies that intensified global inequities.
- Lessons in **communication, governance, and public trust** that shaped national responses.
- The **future directions** for pandemic resilience—emphasizing investment in primary care, equity, surveillance, and mental health integration.

Key Takeaways:

- **Health equity must be central** to future health security.
- **Investment in resilient systems**, not reactive responses, is the path forward.
- **Multilateral cooperation** and reforms to global health governance are essential.
- **Trust, transparency, and innovation** will determine how well the world prepares for the next pandemic.

The path forward must be informed by these lessons to ensure the global community is better prepared, more

united, and more equitable in facing emerging health threats.

REFERENCES

- Abat, C., Chaudet, H., Colson, P., Rolain, J. M., & Raoult, D. (2021). Real-time epidemiology and the importance of digital health tools in pandemics. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 27(4), 529–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.12.012>
- Bambra, C., Riordan, R., Ford, J., & Matthews, F. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 74(11), 964–968. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2020-214401>
- Bauchner, H., Sharfstein, J. M., & Alexander, G. C. (2020). Caring for health care workers — The need for a new approach. *JAMA*, 323(19), 1907–1908. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3973>
- Bonanno, G. A. (2021). Psychological resilience in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid review and synthesis of emerging literature. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 34(5), 667–679. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22709>
- Causey, K., Fullman, N., Sorensen, R. J. D., Galles, N. C., Zheng, P., Aravkin, A. Y., ... & Murray, C. J. L. (2021). Estimating global and regional disruptions to routine childhood vaccine coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020: A modeling study. *The Lancet*, 398(10299), 522–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01337-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01337-4)
- CDC. (2021). Health equity considerations and racial and ethnic minority groups. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/health-equity/race-ethnicity.html>
- Chowkwanyun, M., & Reed, A. L. (2020). Racial health disparities and Covid-19 — caution and context. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(3), 201–203. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2012910>
- Chowkwanyun, M., & Reed, A. L. (2020). Racial health disparities and Covid-19 — caution and context. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(3), 201–203. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2012910>
- COVID-19 and strategies for mitigation and suppression in low- and middle-income countries. *Science*, 369(6502), 413–422. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc0035>
- Docherty, A. B., Mulholland, R., Lone, N. I., Cheyne, J., Deeny, S. R., & Majeed, A. (2021). Excess mortality in patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units in England, Wales and Northern Ireland: A cohort study. *The Lancet*



- Respiratory Medicine*, 9(9), 1012-1020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(21\)00235-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00235-2)
- Ecks, S. (2021). Digital surveillance in the pandemic: The ethical challenges. *BMJ Global Health*, 6(6), e005248. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005248>
- Emanuel, E. J., Persad, G., Upshur, R., Thome, B., Parker, M., Glickman, A., ... & Phillips, J. P. (2020). Fair allocation of scarce medical resources in the time of Covid-19. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(21), 2049-2055. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMs2005114>
- Galea, S., Merchant, R. M., & Lurie, N. (2020). The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: The need for prevention and early intervention. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 180(6), 817-818. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.1562>
- Gates, B. (2020). Responding to Covid-19 — A once-in-a-century pandemic? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(18), 1677-1679. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2003762>
- Greenhalgh, T., Wherton, J., Shaw, S., & Morrison, C. (2020). Video consultations for COVID-19. *BMJ*, 368, m998. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m998>
- Gostic, K. M., McGough, L., Baskerville, E. B., Abbott, S., Joshi, K., Tedijanto, C., ... & Lipsitch, M. (2020). Practical considerations for measuring the effective reproductive number, Rt. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001>
- Haldane, V., De Foo, C., Abdalla, S. M., Jung, A. S., Tan, M., Wu, S., & Legido-Quigley, H. (2021). Health systems resilience in managing the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons from 28 countries. *Nature Medicine*, 27(6), 964-980. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01381-y>
- Hogan, D. R., Stevens, G. A., Saghaian, M., & Gakidou, E. (2020). COVID-19 and the future of global health. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(7), e861-e862. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30259-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30259-3)
- Johns Hopkins University. (2025). COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE). Retrieved July 2025, from <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>
- Kaye, D., Paprottka, F. J., Escudero, C., Casabona, G., Nanda, A., & Levine, J. P. (2020). Elective, non-urgent procedures and aesthetic surgery in the wake of SARS-COVID-19: Considerations regarding safety, feasibility and impact on clinical management. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 44(3), 1014-1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00266-020-01752-9>
- Kickbusch, I., Agrawal, A., Jack, A., Lee, K., & Morancy, K. (2021). The Lancet-O'Neill Institute Commission on Global Health and Law: Strengthening legal capacities for pandemic preparedness. *The Lancet*, 398(10296), 256-259. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01366-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01366-1)
- Kluge, H. H. P., Jakab, Z., Bartovic, J., D'Anna, V., & Severoni, S. (2020). Refugee and migrant health in the COVID-19 response. *The Lancet*, 395(10232), 1237-1239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30791-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30791-1)
- Kluge, H. H. P., Wickramasinghe, K., Rippin, H. L., Mendes, R., Peters, D. H., Kontsevaya, A., & Breda, J. (2021). Prevention and control of non-communicable diseases in the COVID-19 response. *The Lancet*, 396(10252), 1330-1331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32099-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32099-0)
- Krammer, F. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. *Nature*, 586(7830), 516-527. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2798-3>
- Kruk, M. E. (2018). High-quality health systems in the SDG era: Time for a revolution. *Lancet Global Health*, 6(11), e1196-e1252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3)
- Lai, J., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Cai, Z., Hu, J., Wei, N. & Hu, S. (2020). Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(3), e203976. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976>
- Lancet COVID-19 Commission. (2021). The Lancet COVID-19 Commission: Task force on public health measures to suppress the pandemic. *The Lancet*, 397(10269), 1030-1032. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00424-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00424-7)
- Larson, H. J. (2018). The state of vaccine confidence 2016: Global insights through a 67-country survey. *EBioMedicine*, 12, 295-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.08.042>
- Marmot, M., & Allen, J. (2020). COVID-19: Exposing and amplifying inequalities. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 74(9), 681-682. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2020-214720>
- Moon, S. (2015). Will Ebola change the game? Ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. *The Lancet*, 386(10009), 2204-2221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00946-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00946-0)
- Moynihan, R., Sanders, S., Michaleff, Z. A., Scott, A. M., Clark, J., To, E. J., ... & Heneghan, C. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on utilization of healthcare services: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 11(3), e045343. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045343>



- Nguyen, L. H., Drew, D. A., Graham, M. S., Joshi, A. D., Guo, C. G., Ma, W., ... & Chan, A. T. (2020). Risk of COVID-19 among frontline healthcare workers and the general community: A prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(9), e475–e483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30164-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30164-X)
- Nuzzo, J. B. (2020). What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? *Health Security*, 18(4), 242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2020.0039>
- Nuzzo, J. B. (2020). What makes health systems resilient against infectious disease outbreaks and natural hazards? *Health Security*, 18(4), 242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2020.0039>
- Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(6), 510–512. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2008017>
- Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(6), 510–512. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2008017>
- Ranney, M. L., Griffith, V., & Jha, A. K. (2020). Critical supply shortages — The need for ventilators and personal protective equipment during the Covid-19 pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(18), e41. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2006141>
- Roberton, T., Carter, E. D., Chou, V. B., Stegmuller, A. R., Jackson, B. D., Tam, Y., ... & Walker, N. (2020). Early estimates of the indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and child mortality in low-income and middle-income countries: A modelling study. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(7), e901–e908. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30229-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30229-1)
- Smith, A. C., Thomas, E., Snoswell, C. L., Haydon, H., Mehrotra, A., Clemensen, J., & Caffery, L. J. (2020). Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 26(5), 309–313. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20916567>
- Lee, J. (2020). Mental health effects of school closures during COVID-19. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 4(6), 421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30109-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30109-7)
- Moreno, C. (2020). How mental health care should change as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(9), 813–824. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30307-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30307-2)
- Pfefferbaum, B. & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(6), 510–512. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2008017>
- Rauschenberg, C., Gold, A. K., & Peters, M. C. (2021). Digital mental health strategies to combat COVID-19-related mental health issues. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 13(S1), S36–S38. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000746>
- Salari, N. (2020). Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression during COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Globalization and Health*, 16, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00589-w>
- Sharpe, H. (2021). Evaluating the role of mental health awareness and community-level interventions post COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research*, 297, 113676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113676>
- Xiong, J. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 277, 55–64.
- PLoS Computational Biology, 16(12), e1008409. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008409>
- Lee, L. M., & Morling, J. R. (2020). The future of public health surveillance. *Public Health Reviews*, 41(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-020-00122-8>
- Nkengasong, J. N., Tshangela, A., & Jobe, M. (2021). Africa's COVID-19 response: Strengthening future pandemic preparedness. *Nature Medicine*, 27, 949–953. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01311-6>
- Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D. E. (2020). Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection dynamics. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38, 1164–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0684-z>
- Scarpino, S. V. (2022). Predictability and uncertainty in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Science Translational Medicine*, 14(632), eabj9284. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abj9284>
- Shu, Y., & McCauley, J. (2017). GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision to reality. *Eurosurveillance*, 22(13), 30494. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494>
- UNESCO. (2021). Education: From disruption to recovery. <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse>
- UNICEF. (2021). Immunization coverage and impact of COVID-19 pandemic.



<https://www.unicef.org/reports/state-of-the-worlds-children-2021>

- Xiong, J., Lipsitz, O., Nasri, F., Lui, L. M., Gill, H., Phan, L., & McIntyre, R. S. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 277, 55-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001>
- Walker, P. G., Whittaker, C., Watson, O., Baguelin, M., Ainslie, K. E., Bhatia, S., ... & Ghani, A. C. (2020). The impact of
- WHO. (2020a). Pulse survey on continuity of essential health services during the COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-EHS_continuity-survey-2020.1
- WHO. (2022). COVID-19 dashboard. <https://covid19.who.int/>
- Wilson, S. L., & Wiysonge, C. (2020). Social media and vaccine hesitancy. *BMJ Global Health*, 5(10), e004206. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-004206>
- WHO. (2020). Timeline: WHO's COVID-19 response. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/interactive-timeline>
- Wouters, O. J., Shadlen, K. C., Salcher-Konrad, M., Pollard, A. J., Larson, H. J., Teerawattananon, Y., & Jit, M. (2021). Challenges in ensuring global access to COVID-19 vaccines: Production, affordability, allocation, and deployment. *The Lancet*, 397(10278), 1023–1034. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00306-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00306-8)
- WHO. (2022). Genomic sequencing of SARS-CoV-2: A guide to implementation for maximum impact on public health. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240018440>
- WHO. (2020b). COVID-19 disrupting mental health services in most countries, WHO survey. <https://www.who.int/news/item/05-10-2020-covid-19-disrupting-mental-health-services-in-most-countries--who-survey>

